Estimation of Total Incremental Health Care Costs in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation in the United States
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Background—Detailed information on the cost burden of atrial fibrillation (AF) is limited. To provide an up-to-date estimate of the national cost of AF, we conducted a retrospective, observational cohort study using administrative claims from the MarketScan Commercial and Medicare Supplemental research data bases, 2004 to 2006.

Methods and Results—Patients aged ≥20 years with at least 1 inpatient or ≥2 outpatient AF diagnoses in 2005 (first diagnosis=index) and ≥12 months’ enrollment before and after index were selected. AF patients were propensity score–matched (1:1) with non-AF control subjects. Medical costs (2008 US$), including AF costs, other cardiovascular, and noncardiovascular costs, were examined over 1 year after index. National incremental costs of AF were based on age-sex-specific AF prevalence projections for 2010. In total, 89,066 AF patients were matched to non-AF control subjects. Over 1 year, 37.5% of AF versus 17.5% of control subjects were hospitalized and 2.1% versus 0.1% died during hospitalization. For AF versus control subjects, mean annual inpatient costs per patient were $7841 versus $2622 (incremental cost, $5218), outpatient medical costs were $9225 versus $5629 ($3596), and outpatient pharmacy costs were $3605 versus $3714 ($109) (all P<0.001). The total incremental cost of AF was $8705 per patient. The national incremental cost of AF was $26.0 billion (AF, $6.0 billion; other cardiovascular, $9.9 billion; noncardiovascular, $10.1 billion). Cardiovascular costs were based on claims with a primary disease diagnosis and may be underestimates.

Conclusions—On the basis of current US age-sex-specific prevalence data, the national incremental AF cost is estimated to range from $6.0 to $26.0 billion. (Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes. 2011;4:313-320.)
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Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common manifestation of cardiac arrhythmia, and as the population ages, the prevalence of the disease is expected to increase substantially.1 Currently there are an estimated 3.0 million adults with AF in the United States, and this figure is projected to at least double in the next 25 years.1–2 Patients with AF typically have other cardiovascular comorbidities and are at elevated risk of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events and mortality compared with individuals without the condition.3 Hospitalizations and deaths associated with AF have risen steadily in the United States since the 1980s.2,4,5 Currently, hospitalizations with AF as the primary diagnosis exceed 460,000 each year, and AF is estimated to contribute to more than 80,000 annual deaths.6 Because hospitalization is the primary cost driver in the management of the disease,7,8 the economic burden of AF on the health care system is likely to continue to grow.

Although several previous US-based studies have examined the cost of AF,7,9–11 their findings may not be readily generalized nationwide. Recent changes in the management of AF mean that older studies may not reflect contemporary treatment practice, whereas studies based on small patient samples10 or limited to younger AF patients9 may be unrepresentative of the larger AF population. From a methodological perspective, few studies have calculated the incremental costs of AF by comparing costs in AF patients with matched control subjects without this condition,7,9,12–14 and even fewer have projected these costs nationally.

The purpose of this study was to use a large, national data base to provide a comprehensive and up-to-date estimate of the national incremental costs incurred by patients with AF and to explore the relative contribution of cardiovascular and noncardiovascular components of their care.

Methods

Study Design

This retrospective observational cohort study used health care data collected from the MarketScan Commercial Claims and Encounters and Medicare Supplemental and Coordination of Benefits research data bases from Thomson Reuters for the period January 1, 2004, through December 31, 2006. These data bases represent the health services of approximately 38 million employees, dependents, and retirees in the United States, with commercial, primary, or Medicare
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supplemental coverage through privately insured, employer-sponsored health plans. Both the Commercial and Medicare data bases include individuals covered under a variety of fee-for-service, point of service, and capitated reimbursement schemes. The Commercial and Medicare Supplemental data bases comprise a nonprobability convenience sample; however, nearly half of all US health plans, including many of the larger ones, contribute to these data bases. Compared with the 2006 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey, a US-based probability sample that reflects the population with employer-sponsored insurance, the 2007 MarketScan Commercial and Medicare Supplemental data bases contain a slightly lower proportion of individuals in the 18- to 64-year age group (68.2% versus 71.8%), and a higher proportion of individuals in the North Central and South US Census Bureau regions (74.8% versus 53.2%).

WHAT IS KNOWN

- There are an estimated 3.0 million adults with atrial fibrillation in the United States, and this figure is projected to at least double in the next 25 years.
- Hospitalizations with atrial fibrillation as the primary diagnosis exceed 460,000 each year, and atrial fibrillation contributes to >80,000 annual deaths.
- Hospitalization is the primary cost driver in the management of atrial fibrillation.

WHAT THE STUDY ADDS

- This study, based on a large, national, multipayer data set of approximately 90,000 atrial fibrillation patients, is the first to project the national incremental cost of atrial fibrillation using propensity-matching techniques.
- Total direct medical costs were estimated to be 73% higher in atrial fibrillation patients than in matched control subjects, representing a net incremental cost of $8705 per patient per annum (2008 values); based on current US age- and sex-specific prevalence data, the national incremental cost of atrial fibrillation is estimated to range between $6.0 and $26.0 billion.

By covering a heterogeneous patient population represented by a mix of payers rather than a single payer, the MarketScan data bases lend themselves to large-scale observational studies because their findings can be more readily extrapolated to the “real-world” setting. The MarketScan data bases have formed the basis of the National MarketScan Entry and Medicare Supplemental data bases. Compared with the 2006 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey, a US-based probability sample that reflects the population with employer-sponsored insurance, the 2007 MarketScan Commercial and Medicare Supplemental data bases contain a slightly lower proportion of individuals in the 18- to 64-year age group (68.2% versus 71.8%), and a higher proportion of individuals in the North Central and South US Census Bureau regions (74.8% versus 53.2%).
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payment "proxy" for health care services used, based on the average payments for noncapitated claims at the procedure level within the MarketScan databases. This algorithm has been widely used in the published health-economic literature for multiple disease areas, including cardiovascular disease.

For determination of national cost projections, paired AF patients and control subjects were allocated to 1 of 16 combined sex- and age-specific strata (male/female, 20 to 54, 55 to 59, 60 to 64, 65 to 69, 70 to 74, 75 to 79, 80 to 84, and 85 years). Within each stratum, costs of control patients were subtracted from those of AF patients, and the mean (95% confidence interval) cost difference, representing the incremental cost of AF per capita, was calculated. Mean (95% confidence interval) stratum-specific incremental costs were multiplied by the stratum-specific estimated national prevalence of AF, based on age- and sex-specific projections for 2010. Resulting costs were summed across strata to generate the estimated total national direct cost burden of AF for 2010.

The sensitivity of per-capita cost estimates to multivariable adjustment was evaluated using second-stage multivariable regression analysis. The variables used in calculating the propensity score were included in either an ordinary least squares regression model with log-transformed costs or a two-part model, where appropriate, to adjust for any small residual covariate imbalance between the two cohorts after propensity score matching.

Statistical Analysis
Data management and statistical analyses were conducted using STATA 9.2 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX) for propensity score matching and SAS version 9.1.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) for all other analyses. Student t test and the Maentel-Haenszel 2 test were used for comparison of continuous and categorical variables, respectively. A probability value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Study Population
Of an initial sample of 98,290 identified AF patients, 89,066 (90.6%) were successfully matched to an identical number of control patients without AF (Figure 1). The 2 cohorts were well balanced with regard to sex, age, and comorbidity covariates (standardized difference <10%) after matching (Table 1). The logistic regression model used to generate the propensity score showed excellent discriminative power (C-statistic=0.93), indicating that the model readily distinguished AF patients from control patients. The high C-statistic probably was due in part to the marked age difference between the initial (prematch) AF patient pool (mean, 71.9 years) and the comparison patient pool (mean, 48.5 years).

The postmatch study population was of mean age 71 years at baseline (27% of patients were <65 years), with a male:female balance of 55:45% and was drawn predominantly from the North Central and Southern regions of the United States. Medicare was the primary payer for most (75%) of the study population. The mean duration of follow-up for the AF and control groups was 360 and 365 days, respectively.

Among the AF patient cohort, 19.5% had newly diagnosed AF and 80.5% had preexisting AF, whereas 5% had coexisting atrial flutter. Common baseline comorbidities included hypertension and structural heart disease (Table 1). Overall, 22.0% of AF patients were receiving rhythm-control therapy, 73.5% rate-control therapy, and 57.2% warfarin. By design, no patients in the control group received rhythm-control therapy, but 54.6% received rate-control therapy, and 5.8% warfarin. Similar proportions of patients in the AF and control groups were receiving cardiovascular pharmacotherapy (88.4% versus 86.2%).

Hospitalizations and Inpatient Deaths
During the 12-month period after the index date, twice as many AF patients as control patients were hospitalized for any reason (37.5% versus 17.5%; P<0.001), and 3 times as many AF patients as control subjects had multiple hospitalizations (11.1% versus 3.3%; P<0.001). Moreover, AF patients were 4 times more likely to undergo cardiovascular hospitalization compared with control patients (21.3% versus 5.4%; P<0.001) and 8 times more likely than control patients to have multiple cardiovascular hospitalizations (4.1% versus 0.5%; P<0.001). Among AF patients, 7.2% had ≥1 inpatient admission with AF as the primary diagnosis, and 10.3% of
these patients had ≥1 readmission with AF as the primary diagnosis. The proportion of AF patients who died during hospitalization was higher than that of control patients (2.1% versus 0.1%; P < 0.001). Deaths during cardiovascular-related admissions were also more frequent among AF patients than control subjects (0.8% versus 0.0%; P < 0.001).

**Table 1. Patient Demographics and Comorbidities (Before and After Matching)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Prematch AF Patient Pool (n=98 290)</th>
<th>Prematch Comparison Patient Pool (n=3 930 871)</th>
<th>Standardized Differences (%) Between Cohorts*</th>
<th>Postmatch AF Patients (n=89 066)</th>
<th>Postmatch Medically Matched Control Patients (n=89 066)</th>
<th>Standardized Differences (%) Between Cohorts*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>54 833 (55.8)</td>
<td>1 818 326 (46.3)</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>49 190 (55.2)</td>
<td>49 746 (55.9)</td>
<td>−1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>43 457 (44.2)</td>
<td>2 112 545 (53.7)</td>
<td>−19.1</td>
<td>39 876 (44.8)</td>
<td>39 320 (44.1)</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, mean (SD)</strong></td>
<td>71.9 (11.7)</td>
<td>48.5 (14.8)</td>
<td>175.8</td>
<td>71.3 (11.8)</td>
<td>71.5 (11.5)</td>
<td>−2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age category, y, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–54</td>
<td>8212 (8.4)</td>
<td>2 614 304 (66.5)</td>
<td>−150.3</td>
<td>8121 (8.1)</td>
<td>7266 (8.2)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55–59</td>
<td>7462 (7.6)</td>
<td>481 474 (12.2)</td>
<td>−15.6</td>
<td>7298 (8.2)</td>
<td>7170 (8.1)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–64</td>
<td>9396 (9.6)</td>
<td>308 645 (7.9)</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>8988 (10.1)</td>
<td>8996 (10.1)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–69</td>
<td>10 148 (10.3)</td>
<td>165 973 (4.2)</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>9567 (10.7)</td>
<td>10 593 (11.9)</td>
<td>−3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70–74</td>
<td>15 524 (15.8)</td>
<td>143 468 (3.6)</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>14 150 (15.9)</td>
<td>14 751 (16.6)</td>
<td>−1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75–79</td>
<td>19 182 (19.5)</td>
<td>111 158 (2.8)</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>17 061 (19.2)</td>
<td>16 832 (18.9)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80–84</td>
<td>16 889 (17.2)</td>
<td>67 731 (1.7)</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>14 464 (16.2)</td>
<td>13 513 (15.2)</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥85</td>
<td>11 477 (11.7)</td>
<td>38 120 (1.0)</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>9417 (10.6)</td>
<td>9945 (11.2)</td>
<td>−1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Geographic region, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Central</td>
<td>34 559 (35.2)</td>
<td>1 095 669 (27.9)</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>31 272 (35.1)</td>
<td>31 692 (35.6)</td>
<td>−1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>9957 (10.1)</td>
<td>373 626 (9.5)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>8950 (10.0)</td>
<td>8638 (9.7)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>29 550 (30.1)</td>
<td>1 599 090 (40.7)</td>
<td>−22.3</td>
<td>26 972 (30.3)</td>
<td>26 743 (30.0)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>23 477 (23.9)</td>
<td>816 644 (20.8)</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>21 185 (23.8)</td>
<td>20 632 (23.2)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>747 (0.8)</td>
<td>45 842 (1.2)</td>
<td>−4.2</td>
<td>687 (0.8)</td>
<td>1361 (1.5)</td>
<td>−7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Payer type, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>23 423 (23.8)</td>
<td>3 369 569 (85.7)</td>
<td>−158.8</td>
<td>22 844 (25.6)</td>
<td>21 627 (24.3)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare</td>
<td>74 867 (76.2)</td>
<td>561 302 (14.3)</td>
<td>158.8</td>
<td>66 222 (74.4)</td>
<td>67 439 (75.7)</td>
<td>−3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comorbidities, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>80 632 (82.0)</td>
<td>906 146 (23.1)</td>
<td>146.4</td>
<td>71 555 (80.3)</td>
<td>73 783 (82.8)</td>
<td>−6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural heart disease</td>
<td>47 448 (48.3)</td>
<td>161 977 (4.1)</td>
<td>116.3</td>
<td>38 339 (43.0)</td>
<td>33 744 (37.9)</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery disease</td>
<td>28 097 (28.6)</td>
<td>112 474 (2.9)</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>22 548 (25.3)</td>
<td>21 827 (24.5)</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitral valve disease</td>
<td>11 762 (12.0)</td>
<td>30 557 (0.8)</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>8235 (9.2)</td>
<td>7299 (8.2)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive heart failure</td>
<td>19 175 (19.5)</td>
<td>15 722 (0.4)</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td>12 057 (13.5)</td>
<td>9320 (10.5)</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiomyopathy</td>
<td>4997 (5.1)</td>
<td>5731 (0.1)</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>3048 (3.4)</td>
<td>2384 (2.7)</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>21 637 (22.0)</td>
<td>290 976 (7.4)</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>18 998 (21.3)</td>
<td>19 088 (21.4)</td>
<td>−0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary disease</td>
<td>14 683 (14.9)</td>
<td>125 170 (3.2)</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>11 687 (13.1)</td>
<td>11 007 (12.4)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic stroke</td>
<td>8630 (8.8)</td>
<td>28 235 (0.7)</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>7163 (8.0)</td>
<td>5066 (5.7)</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral vascular disease</td>
<td>5341 (5.4)</td>
<td>19 943 (0.5)</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>4188 (4.7)</td>
<td>4112 (4.6)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid disease</td>
<td>4400 (4.5)</td>
<td>78 700 (2.0)</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>3706 (4.2)</td>
<td>3502 (3.9)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCI, mean (SD)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.8)</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>1.3 (1.7)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.7)</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDS, mean (SD)</td>
<td>7.5 (3.7)</td>
<td>2.0 (2.8)</td>
<td>168.0</td>
<td>7.1 (3.6)</td>
<td>7.2 (3.6)</td>
<td>−3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AF indicates atrial fibrillation; CCI, Charlson-Deyo Comorbidity Index; SD, standard deviation; and CDS, Chronic Disease Score.

*A standardized difference <10% was considered indicative of a good balance in covariates between cohorts.24

**Annual Direct Costs Per Patient**

Total direct costs per patient over the 12-month period after the index date were (mean) $20 670 in the AF group and $11 965 in the control group, a net incremental cost of $8705 ($P < 0.001). The principal driver of this cost difference was inpatient services (mean, $7841 for AF patients versus $2622...
Table 2. Per-Patient Medical Costs in AF and Matched Control Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Per-Patient Cost in AF Patients (US$) (n=89 066)</th>
<th>Per-Patient Cost in Medically Matched Control Subjects (US$) (n=89 066)</th>
<th>Incremental Per-Patient Cost in AF Patients (US$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total costs</td>
<td>20 670</td>
<td>11 965</td>
<td>8705*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF†</td>
<td>1945</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1945*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other CV§</td>
<td>5824</td>
<td>2613</td>
<td>3211*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-CV</td>
<td>12 901</td>
<td>9352</td>
<td>3550*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient costs¶</td>
<td>7841</td>
<td>2622</td>
<td>5218*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF†</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>780*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other CV§</td>
<td>3155</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>2321*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-CV</td>
<td>3905</td>
<td>1787</td>
<td>2118*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient medical¶</td>
<td>9225</td>
<td>5629</td>
<td>3596*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF†</td>
<td>972</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>972*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other CV§</td>
<td>1576</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>898*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-CV</td>
<td>6677</td>
<td>4951</td>
<td>1726*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient pharmacy</td>
<td>3605</td>
<td>3714</td>
<td>−109*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF†</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>193*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other CV§</td>
<td>1093</td>
<td>1101</td>
<td>−8†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-CV</td>
<td>2319</td>
<td>2613</td>
<td>−294*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AF indicates atrial fibrillation; CV, cardiovascular.

*P<0.001, intercohort comparison.
†P<0.05, intercohort comparison.
¶Only includes costs for claims with a primary AF diagnosis or claims for antiarrhythmic drugs.
§Includes costs for claims with a primary CV diagnosis, excluding AF.
Covers hospitalization (including inpatient deaths).
Covers emergency department visits, physician visits, laboratory services, and other outpatient services.

For control subjects; $5218 increment; P<0.001), followed by office visits (mean, $966 for AF patients versus $655 for control subjects; $311 increment; P<0.001) and emergency room services (mean, $371 for AF patients versus $168 for control subjects; $205 increment; P<0.001). The difference in cardiovascular-specific expenditure (mean $7769 for AF patients versus $2613 for control subjects; $5156 increment; P<0.001) exceeded that for noncardiovascular expenditure (mean $12 901 for AF patients versus $9352 for control subjects; $3550 increment; P<0.001). The major contributor to inflation of cardiovascular-specific costs among AF patients was cardiovascular-specific inpatient expenditure (mean, $3935 for AF patients versus $835 for control subjects; $3100 increment; P<0.001). Lower outpatient pharmacy costs for AF patients compared with control patients (mean, $3605 versus $3714; $109 decrement; P<0.001) were attributable to reduced expenditure on noncardiovascular drugs (mean, $2319 versus $2613; $294 decrement; P<0.001). In contrast, overall cardiovascular drug costs (mean, $1219 versus $556; $663 increment), including anticoagulant/antiplatelet drug costs (mean, $219 versus $148; $71 increment), were higher for AF patients than for control patients (both P<0.001). In terms of expenditure type, the overall incremental cost of AF (mean, $8705) comprised (mean) AF-related costs (ie, for care provided in connection with a primary diagnosis of AF) of $1945, incremental “other cardiovascular” costs of $3211 and incremental noncardiovascular costs of $3550 (Table 2).

Sensitivity analysis indicated that propensity score matching addressed bias related to identified preindex demographic and clinical differences between the 2 cohorts, although the potential for residual bias from unmeasured confounders remains. Second-stage multivariable adjustment had a minimal and bidirectional effect on cost estimates: total inpatient expenditure fell by (mean) $297 from the unadjusted, propensity match-based estimate of $5218, whereas cardiovascular-specific inpatient expenditure rose by $479 from the unadjusted estimate of $3101. Accordingly, the cost estimates generated from the primary analysis were considered robust.

National Cost Projections

Extrapolation of the cohort cost findings (2008 values) to an estimated 3.5 million cases of AF in 2010, based on US Census Bureau projections of the age and sex distribution of the US population,1 yielded an incremental cost in AF patients versus control patients of $26.0 billion over a 12-month period. AF-specific costs constituted $6.0 billion (23%), of which $2.3 billion (38%) comprised inpatient admissions with a primary diagnosis of AF and $3.1 billion (52%) comprised outpatient medical care. Other cardiovascular costs constituted $9.9 billion (38%), arising from an estimated 700 000 additional cardiovascular-specific inpatient admissions and 3.2 million additional hospital days, whereas noncardiovascular costs constituted $10.1 billion (39%) (Figure 2). Outpatient medical/pharmacy costs accounted for the majority of AF-specific costs (62%), whereas inpatient costs accounted for the majority of other cardiovascular (73%) and noncardiovascular (68%) costs. At the individual level, costs tended to be higher among patients younger than 65 years than in older patients, whereas at the national level the cost burden was greatest among men in the 60- to 64-year age group (Figure 3).

Discussion

The results of this study, based on a large, national, multipayer data set of ≈90 000 AF patients, provide comprehen-
sive and up-to-date information on the incremental cost burden of AF in the US. An estimated 57% of the US population receives health care insurance through employer-sponsored arrangements,15 and these individuals represent a large mix of payers. Use of the MarketScan data bases has the advantage of capturing the reimbursement policies and practices of multiple payers, and the study findings are therefore more likely to reflect the collective heterogeneities of the highly complex US health care system. Moreover, this is the first study to project the national incremental cost of AF using propensity-matching techniques to adjust for residual non-AF disease differences.

The study indicated that total annual direct medical costs were 73% higher in AF patients than in control subjects, representing a net incremental AF cost of $8705 per patient (2008 values). This figure compares with previous estimates of $12,349 (2002 values) obtained from a small study (n=3944) of relatively young (mean age, 55 years) AF patients,9 and $14,199 (2004 values) from a larger study (n=55,260) of elderly Medicare patients with AF.14 Likewise, our national incremental cost projections of $6.0 billion (AF-related costs alone) and $26.0 billion (AF-related costs plus other cardiovascular costs and noncardiovascular costs) per annum are generally consistent with previously published estimates, despite notable differences in study design.7,13 A US survey based on 2001 data collected from 3 federally funded data bases, covering hospital inpatient stays, physician office visits, emergency department visits, and hospital outpatient visits, estimated AF-related direct medical expenditure (ie, costs specific to the diagnosis and treatment of AF) to be $6 to $7 billion annually at 2005 values.7 However, these cost estimates were on the conservative side because this cross-sectional study did not capture the full costs of AF as a secondary (comorbid) discharge diagnosis, whereas the hospital sampling data omitted charges for hospital-based physician services.7 Moreover, the study data bases did not provide the actual costs of the reported services; instead, an average charge-to-cost ratio was applied uniformly across all hospitalizations, whereas resource use items pertaining to office, emergency room, and hospital outpatient visits were assigned unit costs.7 A later study, based on a sample of 35,255 managed-care patients and using the same national prevalence projections that we used, estimated total annual AF-related costs at $12.7 billion in 2005 and $13.9 billion in 2010.13 However, this study did not use propensity matching techniques, and the patient cohorts differed in prevalence of cardiovascular comorbidity; moreover, this managed-care population was notably younger (mean age, 64 years) than our AF population (mean age, 71 years).13

The propensity matching techniques used in our study produced an AF cohort and a control cohort that were well matched with respect to sex, age, and most cardiovascular comorbidities. Furthermore, the proportions of patients receiving cardiovascular pharmacotherapy were similarly high in the AF and control groups (88.4% versus 86.2%). More than half of the control patients (55%) received rate-control therapy. Although this finding may initially appear anomalous, these medications were probably used for the treatment of cardiovascular conditions other than AF, such as hypertension, coronary artery disease, and congestive heart failure, all of which were prevalent in control patients.

Our finding of a higher incremental cost of AF in patients in the under-65 age group is not unexpected, given that suspected AF may be investigated more extensively in the under-65s and, once diagnosed, it may be treated more aggressively than in the elderly. Patients under the age of 65
years may also be presenting with symptomatic cardiovascular disease for the first time and therefore likely to be evaluated more extensively than older patients with existing disease. Examination of sex- and age-group-specific costs for procedures such as radiofrequency catheter ablation, atrioventricular node ablation, pacemaker insertion, surgical maze procedure, and electric cardioversion revealed that, with the exception of pacemaker insertion, mean expenditure on these interventions declined substantially with advancing age in both males and females, suggesting that they are employed less frequently in older patients, including the Medicare age group. Furthermore, the under-65 age group is covered by private, commercial insurance plans, which have higher reimbursement fees than the government-funded Medicare program. Clinical treatment of the under-65s may involve greater emphasis on rhythm control strategies such as curative AF ablation or antiarrhythmic drug therapy because the data on AF ablation are more applicable to this cohort and the results of the Atrial Fibrillation Follow-Up Investigation of Rhythm Management (AFFIRM) study cannot be confidently extrapolated to these younger populations.

At the national level, the incremental cost burden of AF was greater in men under 65 years of age than in those over 65 years, despite the low prevalence of AF in this younger age group. In contrast, for women, the national incremental burden showed minimal variation with age. Although sex-related differences in longevity may be expected to shift the demographic burden of AF toward a lower median age among men, this is unlikely to account for the disproportionately high national cost burden projected for men in the 20- to 64-year age category. Rather, the data would suggest that, among the AF population in the United States, men of working age receive the most generous health care provision for diagnosis and treatment of this condition.

This retrospective, nonrandomized, observational study has a number of limitations. Clinical characteristics were determined using ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes on nondiagnostic claims, thereby potentially introducing error from coding inaccuracy. Additionally, there is scope for misclassification of expenditure across the various cost subcategories. The unexpectedly large noncardiovascular cost component of the overall incremental cost of AF may have been due to a noncardiovascular condition being inadvertently coded in the primary position for billing purposes when instead treatment was provided for a cardiovascular condition or the patient’s condition was exacerbated by underlying cardiovascular morbidity. Despite good balance in baseline characteristics between the 2 patient cohorts, possible bias due to imbalance in nonidentified covariates cannot be excluded. Moreover, the closely matched comorbidity rates suggested by ICD-9-CM diagnostic coding may conceal clinically important differences in disease severity between the 2 cohorts. The use of certain medications for multiple purposes/indications can also complicate the comparison of costs between AF and control patients. Limitations concerning the recording and identification of mortality data should also be considered. For example, deaths occurring outside the inpatient setting were not recorded. Therefore, the mortality findings reported here are not comparable with other studies of mortality in AF patients.

Furthermore, the study data constitute a sample of convenience and the patients and practice patterns in the constituent health care organizations may not be fully representative of the nation as a whole. Additionally, the prevalence of AF and its comorbidities may differ from that of other payer populations and the uninsured. The assumption of 3.5 million AF cases in 2010 that supported the national cost projections was based on a single study, and published AF prevalence projections for 2010 range from 2.7 to 6.7 million AF cases. Finally, AF- and cardiovascular-related costs were defined solely on the basis of claims with a primary diagnosis of AF or cardiovascular disease, which may result in their underestimation, and indirect costs associated with AF, such as lost productivity, were not considered in this analysis.

Conclusion

This study indicates that direct medical costs are substantially higher in AF patients than in medically matched non-AF control subjects—primarily because of higher inpatient costs (due to more frequent hospitalization/mortality) and outpatient medical costs associated with AF. Less than one-quarter of the total incremental AF costs were related primarily to AF care; of the remainder, incremental costs were equally divided between cardiovascular (non–AF-related) and noncardiovascular care. Based on current US age- and sex-specific prevalence data, the national incremental cost of AF is estimated to range from a low of $6.0 billion (AF-related costs alone) to a high of $26.0 billion (including other cardiovascular costs plus noncardiovascular costs).
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