Changes in European Label and Guideline Adherence After Updated Recommendations for Stroke Thrombolysis
Results From the Safe Implementation of Treatments in Stroke Registry
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Background—Intravenous thrombolysis (IVT) for acute ischemic stroke is subject to label and guideline contraindications. Updated European guidelines in 2008/2009 recommended IVT in selected patients aged >80 years and stroke onset-to-treatment time 3 to 4.5 hours, which the label still prohibited. Our aim was to compare contraindication nonadherence before and after the guideline update.

Methods and Results—Data on IVT-treated patients with stroke at 232 European hospitals participating in the Safe Implementation of Treatments in Stroke registry during both periods 2006 to 2007 (n=6354) and 2010 to 2011 (n=12046). After the 2008/2009 guideline update, the proportion of patients nonadherent to label increased from 23.6% to 51.1% (P<0.001). Specifically, nonadherence to onset-to-treatment time >3 hours increased from 8.2% to 27.9% and IVT in patients aged >80 years from 8.9% to 17.2% (both P<0.001). Nonadherence also increased to the contraindications severe stroke (National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale score >25), onset-to-treatment time >4.5 hours, blood pressure >185/110 mm Hg, and ongoing oral anticoagulation (all P≤0.001). Higher hospital IVT patient volumes were associated with higher nonadherence rates.

Conclusions—After the European guideline update, new recommendations were promptly adopted and nonadherence to the unchanged label increased. Label contraindications should be updated.
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WHAT IS KNOWN

- Acute stroke treatment with intravenous thrombolysis using alteplase is subject to label and guideline recommendations.
- The latest European guidelines for acute stroke treatment were published in 2008/2009 and incorporate scientific breakthroughs—namely intravenous thrombolysis in the elderly and ≤4.5 hours after symptom onset—that have not yet been updated in the European alteplase label from 2002.

WHAT THE STUDY ADDS

- This study demonstrates prompt adherence to the new 2008/2009 European guidelines in observational data of 18,400 intravenous thrombolysis–treated patients enrolled in the Safe Implementation of Treatments in Stroke registry, demonstrating that physicians follow the updates of guideline recommendations.
- After the introduction of the 2008/2009 European guidelines, rates of alteplase label violations doubled to reach half of the patient cohort, indicating that clinical practice grossly deviates from the unchanged label.
- Patient volume in a stroke center is a determining factor for nonadherence, with high-volume centers showing high rates of nonadherence to both label and guidelines.

Methods

Study Timeline

We chose to compare the proportions of patients treated despite guideline and label nonadherence between time periods after and before the 2008/2009 ESO guidelines’ publication: the years 2010 to 2011, when physicians were already familiar with the new guidelines, and 2006 to 2007, reflecting common practice before the new guidelines appeared. Practice in both periods was under the influence of the 2002 European Medicines Agency label for alteplase, commercialized in Europe by Boehringer Ingelheim as Actilyse, which remained unchanged. Available guideline recommendations for IVT in 2006 to 2007 were the 2003 EUSI guidelines (Figure 1, study timeline).

Study Population

Anonymized data from patients treated with IVT for acute ischemic stroke in Europe were obtained from the SITS-ISTR. SITS-ISTR has been a prospective, multinational, observational registry for medical centers documenting stroke treatments since 2002. The aims of the registry, collection of data, and structure of the database have been previously described. The need for ethical approval and patient consent for participation in the SITS-ISTR varied among enrolling countries. They were obtained in countries so requiring; other countries approved the registry for conduct as an anonymized audit. The SITS International Coordination Office monitored the registry data online and checked individual patient data monthly to identify errors or inconsistencies. Data on a total of 18,400 patients were collected from 232 hospitals in 19 European countries that were actively enrolling during both periods January 1, 2006, to December 31, 2007, and January 1, 2010, to December 31, 2011. The following variables were obtained from the database: time points of IVT treatment after stroke onset (onset-to-treatment time [OTT]), age, stroke severity per the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale, SBP, diastolic blood pressure, and glucose levels on admission; medication with anticoagulants, diabetes mellitus with any previous stroke, and previous stroke within the last 3 months. Proportions were calculated excluding cases with missing or unknown values for each variable, as done in previous SITS publications.

Data Analysis

For calculation of significance of difference between proportions, we fitted a logistic mixed effects model to take into account the hierarchical structure of our data (patients are clustered in hospitals). To determine whether nonadherence was influenced by the source of recommendation (label versus EUSI 2003 versus ESO 2008/2009), nonadherence rates were additionally grouped according to source and time period for comparison. To determine whether nonadherence was associated with the number of IVT-treated patients per center and per 2-year period, patient volume was grouped into 4 strata: <50, 50 to 99, 100 to 199, and ≥200 patients and additionally grouped according to contraindication source and time period.

Ethical Approval

This project was reviewed and approved by the Central Ethical Review Board of the Stockholm County (EPN 2014/908-31/1) and by the SITS Scientific Committee.

Results

The number of patients with stroke treated with IVT nearly doubled in hospitals that participated in the SITS registry during both study periods, increasing from 6354 patients in 2006 to 2007 to 12,046 in 2010 to 2011. A summary of nonadherence rates to label and guideline contraindications is presented in Table 2. The proportion of cases with missing data was low at 0.1% to 4.6% for all contraindication parameters except blood glucose, where data were unavailable for 7.0% of patients.
Table 1. European Contraindications for Intravenous Thrombolysis in Stroke and Their Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contraindication</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt;80 y</td>
<td>Label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTT &gt;3 h</td>
<td>EUSt 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTT &gt;4.5 h</td>
<td>Label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIHSS score &gt;25</td>
<td>EUSt 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticoagulation treatment</td>
<td>Label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP &gt;185 mmHg</td>
<td>Label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP &gt;184 mmHg</td>
<td>EUSt 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP &gt;180 mmHg</td>
<td>Label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP &gt;110 mmHg</td>
<td>Label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP &gt;109 mmHg</td>
<td>Label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous stroke within the last 3 months</td>
<td>Label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus and previous stroke</td>
<td>Label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood glucose &lt;2.8 or &gt;22.2 mmol/L</td>
<td>Label</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DBP indicates diastolic blood pressure; EUSt, European Stroke Initiative; ESO, European Stroke Organisation: clinical guidelines published in 2008; EUSt, European Stroke Initiative: clinical guidelines published in 2008/2009 to replace EUSt; label: license for alteplase in Europe since 2002; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; OTT, onset-to-treatment time; and SBP, systolic blood pressure.

Nonadherence to Contraindications in 2010 to 2011 Versus 2006 to 2007

After the ESO 2008/2009 changes in favor of using IVT ≤4.5 hours from stroke onset, the proportion of patients treated beyond 3 hours more than tripled to 27.9%. Similarly, the proportion of IVT-treated patients aged >80 years nearly doubled to 17.2%, reflecting adherence to the more permissive recommendations of the ESO 2008/2009 guidelines (Table 2).

Nonadherence increased significantly for nearly all label and guideline contraindications in 2010 to 2011; in particular for National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale score >25 (1.7%) and anticoagulation treatment (3.1%), both present in the label only; SBP >184 mmHg (6.3%) and diastolic blood pressure >109 mmHg (3.6%), present not only in the guideline but also in the label as SBP >185 and diastolic blood pressure >110 mmHg; and OTT >4.5 hours (1.8%), present in the guideline only. There was no significant increase in treatment despite contraindicated glucose levels or in patients with diabetes mellitus and any previous stroke (including previous stroke within the last 3 months). Notably, in the years 2006 to 2007, that is, before the more permissive guideline formulation, nonadherence to the label age contraindication was already 8.9%, and similarly, nonadherence to the label contraindication of treatment beyond 3 hours from symptom onset was 8.2%.

The overall proportion of patients treated in nonadherence to label more than doubled from 1407 of 5955 (23.6%) in 2006 to 2007 to 5701 of 11 156 (51.1%) in 2010 to 2011 (not shown). On the contrary, the proportion of patients treated outside the European guidelines remained relatively constant, with 866 of 6176 patients (14.3%) in 2006 to 2007 (per EUSt 2003 guidelines) decreasing slightly to 1328 of 11 382 patients (11.7%) in 2010 to 2011 (per ESO 2008/2009 guidelines) but was notably lower than off-label treatment (not shown).

Rates of Nonadherence per Center Volume and Source of Contraindications

Rates of nonadherence were the highest in centers with high patient volumes. In both time periods, there were significant increases in label nonadherence with increasing patient volumes, ranging from 19.9% to 34.7% in 2006 to 2007 and from 41.6% to 57.3% in 2010 to 2011. Significant increases in nonadherence with increasing center volume were also observed for EUSt guidelines in 2006 to 2007, ranging from 13.0% to 18.0%, and for ESO guidelines in 2010 to 2011, ranging from 9.4% to 13.9% (Figure 2B). Nonadherence to the label increased over time in both low- and high-volume centers. Inversely, nonadherence to European guideline recommendations decreased slightly over time in both low- and high-volume centers.

Number of Enrolling Hospitals per Center Volume

The number of hospitals active in both time periods and enrolling <50 patients decreased from 200 centers in 2006 to 2007 to 156 (51.1%) in 2010 to 2011 (not shown). After the ESO 2008/2009 changes in favor of using IVT ≤4.5 hours from stroke onset, the proportion of patients treated beyond 3 hours more than tripled to 27.9%. Similarly, the proportion of IVT-treated patients aged >80 years nearly doubled to 17.2%, reflecting adherence to the more permissive recommendations of the ESO 2008/2009 guidelines (Table 2).

Nonadherence increased significantly for nearly all label and guideline contraindications in 2010 to 2011; in particular for National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale score >25 (1.7%) and anticoagulation treatment (3.1%), both present in the label only; SBP >184 mmHg (6.3%) and diastolic blood pressure >109 mmHg (3.6%), present not only in the guideline but also in the label as SBP >185 and diastolic blood pressure >110 mmHg; and OTT >4.5 hours (1.8%), present in the guideline only. There was no significant increase in treatment despite contraindicated glucose levels or in patients with diabetes mellitus and any previous stroke (including previous stroke within the last 3 months). Notably, in the years 2006 to 2007, that is, before the more permissive guideline formulation, nonadherence to the label age contraindication was already 8.9%, and similarly, nonadherence to the label contraindication of treatment beyond 3 hours from symptom onset was 8.2%.

The overall proportion of patients treated in nonadherence to label more than doubled from 1407 of 5955 (23.6%) in 2006 to 2007 to 5701 of 11 156 (51.1%) in 2010 to 2011 (not shown). On the contrary, the proportion of patients treated outside the European guidelines remained relatively constant, with 866 of 6176 patients (14.3%) in 2006 to 2007 (per EUSt 2003 guidelines) decreasing slightly to 1328 of 11 382 patients (11.7%) in 2010 to 2011 (per ESO 2008/2009 guidelines) but was notably lower than off-label treatment (not shown).
Nonadherence Rates in Europe Before vs After ESO 2008/2009 Guideline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contraindication</th>
<th>Rates of Nonadherence (%)</th>
<th>2006–2007</th>
<th>2010–2011</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt;80 y</td>
<td></td>
<td>566/6350 (8.9)</td>
<td>2072/12029 (17.2), new indication</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTT &gt;3 h</td>
<td></td>
<td>513/6279 (8.2)</td>
<td>3289/11774 (27.9), new indication ≤4.5 h</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Label, EUSI 2003, ESO 2008/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTT &gt;4.5 h</td>
<td></td>
<td>58/6279 (0.9)</td>
<td>212/11774 (1.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>ESO 2008/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIHSS score &gt;25</td>
<td></td>
<td>66/6256 (1.1)</td>
<td>204/11741 (1.7)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>Label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any antiagulation</td>
<td></td>
<td>149/6312 (2.4)</td>
<td>373/11938 (3.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP &gt;185 mm Hg</td>
<td></td>
<td>154/6284 (2.5)</td>
<td>631/11738 (5.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP &gt;184 mm Hg</td>
<td></td>
<td>252/6284 (4.0)</td>
<td>738/11738 (6.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>ESO 2008/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP &gt;180 mm Hg</td>
<td></td>
<td>363/6284 (5.8)</td>
<td>958/11738 (8.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>EUSI 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP &gt;110 mm Hg</td>
<td></td>
<td>52/6280 (0.8)</td>
<td>242/11736 (2.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP &gt;109 mm Hg</td>
<td></td>
<td>135/6280 (2.1)</td>
<td>417/11736 (3.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>ESO 2008/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus and previous stroke</td>
<td></td>
<td>158/6296 (2.5)</td>
<td>336/11931 (2.8)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>Label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood glucose &lt;50 or &gt;400 mg/dL</td>
<td>17/6082 (0.3)</td>
<td>31/11044 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>Label</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P values obtained using a logistic mixed effects model to take into account the hospital clustering effect. DBP indicates diastolic blood pressure; ESO, European Stroke Organisation; EUSI, European Stroke Initiative; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; OTT, onset-to-treatment time; and SBP, systolic blood pressure.

Comparison With Previous Off-Label IVT Treatment Studies

On the majority of contraindications in our study, that is, age, OTT, blood pressure, oral antiagulation, previous stroke, and diabetes mellitus, our results were in line with previous studies.17–22 Table 3 shows a full comparison across time periods and with various publications.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study examining the effects of the ESO 2008/2009 guidelines in clinical practice and showing a difference between adherence to guideline recommendations and the product label for IVT treatment of acute ischemic stroke in European hospitals. The results can be summarized as follows: (1) after ESO guidelines for IVT in acute stroke were updated in 2008/2009 to include patients aged >80 years and an extended treatment time window from 3 to 4.5 hours, there was widespread and prompt adherence of stroke physicians to the new recommendations; (2) nonadherence to most guideline and label contraindications significantly increased in 2010 to 2011 compared with that in 2006 to 2007; (3) label nonadherence doubled in 2010 to 2011, from a quarter to half of all IVT-treated patients, whereas nonadherence to European guidelines remained at a similar level, with only a slight decrease; and (4) we demonstrate for the first time that nonadherence rises with increasing volume of treated patients, with the highest rates of nonadherence occurring in the highest volume centers.

The IVT-treated population registered in SITS-ISTR European centers doubled between 2006 and 2007 and 2010 and 2011, a change that has been described for individual European countries in the same time period (eg, Germany and Sweden). This reflects improved implementation of thrombolysis in local and national healthcare systems, guideline expansion of the time window to 4.5 hours, and removal of the upper age limit, allowing physicians to treat more patients.

Nonadherence in SITS-ISTR

Our results show a doubling of overall label nonadherence from 23.6% in 2006 to 2007 to 51.1% in 2010 to 2011. Factors explaining this overwhelming increase could be new guideline indications that had previously been (and still continue to be) label contraindications, treatment experience, and published reports of safety and efficacy of off-label IVT treatment in stroke. Reports on off-label treatment published in 2007 and 2010 showed benefit of IVT for a large number of contraindication violations with no overall increase in treatment risks.17,27–29 An important finding of our study is that label nonadherence increases with higher center patient volumes. This may reflect that high-volume centers built up more experience with off-label treatment, perceive also off-label treatment to have a favorable risk–benefit relationship, and thus confidently treat more patients. This is reflected by the fact that high-volume centers in our study also have high numbers of on-label and per-guideline treated patients. Also,
the number of individual hospitals achieving higher volumes of IVT-treated patients increased over time, which translates into more experience in more centers. Even in centers with the highest adherence rates, there was a clear parting from label adherence in the later time period (Figure 3).

Concerning European guidelines, nonadherence was shown to remain relatively stable with only a slight decrease from 14.3% in EUSI 2003 to 11.7% after the 2008/2009 update. Nonadherence to ESO 2008/2009 guidelines was slightly higher in the highest volume centers.

Appraisal of European Guideline Recommendations

As a measure of implementation, rates of adherence to new recommendations increased substantially in Europe, possibly indicating that physicians quickly became familiar with the new ESO 2008/2009 guidelines or similarly worded national or local guidelines updated at the time, after publication of new evidence.

In recent work by our research group using the electronic GuideLine Implementability Appraisal tool, we showed that the ESO 2008/2009 IVT guidelines have fewer barriers to executability and decidability, compared with the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association guidelines from 2013. Conversely, the ESO guidelines were also found to be more implementable.
Comparison With Previous Off-Label IVT Treatment Studies

Overall, the most common label violations reported in previous studies were OTT >3 hours, age >80 years, and blood pressure limits (Table 3). Similarly, age >80 years and OTT >3 hours were the 2 most common label violations in our study. Violations of the upper age limit of 80 years were at the same level of those in the Helsinki and VISTA cohorts, whereas our study shows the highest rate of nonadherence to OTT >3 hours. Thus, with regard to the studied time periods, the Helsinki cohort, SITS-EAST, and VISTA results can mainly be compared with the 2006 to 2007 period in our study, as data for those studies had been obtained largely before the ESO 2008/2009 guidelines. Recent reports from single centers in Verona, Bergen, and Madrid are more comparable with both study time periods in our study and reflect prompt adoption of the new ESO 2008/2009 indications.

Limitations of the Study

The SITS-ISTR data set used in this study represents clinical practice across a wide variety of demographics and hospital types in 19 European countries. However, giving a detailed picture of local and national practice traditions or treatment within experimental protocols in individual centers cannot be done using our study design. As with other registry-based reports, results are based on retrospective, explorative analysis of observational data, with the inherent limitations of observational study design. Data for relevant variables were missing in a maximum of ≈7% of patients, which is in line with, or below, previous publications from SITS and other large registries, such as the Get With The Guidelines program in the United States.

Conclusions

European stroke centers adhered to a high extent to updated recommendations for IVT treatment in the 2008/2009 ESO guidelines. In general, nonadherence to single guideline or label contraindications increased between 2006 to 2007 and 2010 to 2011, with a doubling in overall label nonadherence. The fact that over half of all IVT-treated patients were in nonadherence to the label in 2010 to 2011 warrants label revision. Center volume played a significant role in label nonadherence. The SITS registry proved a useful tool for examining changes in nonadherence to clinical practice guidelines and medication label over time.
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