Does Ginkgo biloba Reduce the Risk of Cardiovascular Events?
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Background—Cardiovascular disease (CVD) was a preplanned secondary outcome of the Ginkgo Evaluation of Memory Study. The trial previously reported that Ginkgo biloba had no effect on the primary outcome, incident dementia.

Methods and Results—The double-blind trial randomly assigned 3069 participants over 75 years of age to 120 mg of G. biloba EGB 761 twice daily or placebo. Mean follow-up was 6.1 years. The identification and classification of CVD was based on methods used in the Cardiovascular Health Study. Differences in time to event between G. biloba and placebo were evaluated using Cox proportional hazards regression adjusted for age and sex. There were 355 deaths in the study, 87 due to coronary heart disease with no differences between G. biloba and placebo. There were no differences in incident myocardial infarction (n=164), angina pectoris (n=207), or stroke (151) between G. biloba and placebo. There were 24 hemorrhagic strokes, 16 on G. biloba and 8 on placebo (not significant). There were only 35 peripheral vascular disease events, 12 (0.8%) on G. biloba and 23 (1.5%) on placebo (P=0.04, exact test). Most of the peripheral vascular disease cases had either vascular surgery or amputation.

Conclusions—There was no evidence that G. biloba reduced total or CVD mortality or CVD events. There were more peripheral vascular disease events in the placebo arm. G. biloba cannot be recommended for preventing CVD. Further clinical trials of peripheral vascular disease outcomes might be indicated.

Clinical Trial Registration—clinicaltrials.gov Identifier: NCT00010803

(Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes. 2010;3:00-00.)
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WHAT IS KNOWN

- Ginkgo biloba is a flavonoid that has previously been shown to improve walking in patients with peripheral vascular disease.

WHAT THE STUDY ADDS

- In a secondary end point analysis of G biloba in the Ginkgo Evaluation of Memory Study, G biloba did not reduce incidence or mortality from coronary heart disease or stroke.
- There were fewer peripheral vascular disease events in participants taking G biloba (n=12, 8%) than placebo (n=23, 1.5%) (P=0.04).
- G biloba may reduce risk of peripheral vascular disease, especially among high-risk individuals with low ankle-brachial index.
- This positive effect on peripheral vascular disease is consistent with previous studies and requires further evaluation in larger trials before implementation in clinical practice.

Methods

A detailed description of the study methods and recruitment has been published.1 The study was conducted under an investigational new drug application with the Food and Drug Administration under the auspices of the National Center of Complementary and Alternative Medicine (NCCAM). The study was built on the infrastructure of the Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS) clinical study at the University of Pittsburgh, University of California Davis, Johns Hopkins University, and Wake Forest University.2 A Clinical Coordinating Center located at the Wake Forest University was charged with the oversight of clinical operations. The Cognitive Diagnostic Center was located at the University of Pittsburgh. The Data Coordinating Center was at the University of Washington in Seattle and the Laboratory Center at the University of Vermont.2

The secondary end points were defined at the beginning of the trial and the analysis planned before the availability of the results of the trial (ie, prespecified).

Recruitment and Eligibility

Participants were recruited both from the CHS and volunteers in the communities. Recruitment was done in 3 phases; cognitive and medical or other exclusions were identified at each phase. The Telephone Interview for Cognitive Status was used to screen potential participants before inviting them into the clinic for further screening.1,2 During the initial screening visit, participants completed several cognitive screening tests and additional neuropsychological testing, phlebotomy, and functional assessment. Eligibility for the trial included no current dementia, willingness to participate for 5 years in the trial, age at least 75 years, English speaking, identification and classification of vascular outcomes were based on methods from the CHS.20 Each field center abstracted the ICD codes for diagnoses from the hospitalization face sheet, and codes were searched to flag any possible vascular outcomes. Local physicians were also required to determine whether the hospitalization was due to a cardiovascular or cerebrovascular cause or if the serious adverse event resulted in death. Case records with vascular ICD codes or marked by the local physician as being in any of the vascular disease categories were forwarded to the University of Pittsburgh for abstraction and adjudication. In addition to the face sheet, discharge summary, and history and physical examination, review of coronary events included cardiac consults and diagnostic laboratory tests and procedures. Cerebrovascular event reviews also included neurological consults. Vascular outcomes were defined as MI, angina pectoris, CHF, CVA/stroke, TIA, PVD, and coronary revascularization. Cases from all 4 clinical centers were classified by 2 reviewers of the University of Pittsburgh blinded to treatment assignment. All deaths were classified by underlying cause of death using death certificates and, if applicable, hospital records and autopsy reports. The classification included atherosclerotic CHD (subclassified as definite fatal MI, definite fatal CHD, or possible fatal CHD), cerebrovascular disease (including stroke/CVA or late effect of stroke), atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease noncoronary (including ruptured aortic aneurysm), other cardiovascular disease not CHD or CVA (including valvular heart disease and pulmonary embolism), and all other causes. Morbid CVD events were classified as definite, probable, or not present.

Drug Treatment

Participants were randomly assigned to twice-daily doses of either 120 mg G biloba extract or an identically appearing placebo. G biloba EGB 761 was supplied for the study by the Schwabe Pharmaceuticals (Karlsruhe, Germany).1,2 A 120-mg dose in pill form containing 28.8 mg of G biloba flavone glycosides and 7.2 mg of terpene lactones was prepared for use in this study. These values were confirmed by an independent laboratory for each batch of product used in the GEMS.
Statistical Methods

Descriptive statistics were calculated as count and percent for discrete variables and mean with standard deviations for continuous variables. Time-to-event analyses comparing *G. biloba* with placebo were completed on (a) total and CVD deaths, (b) incident CVD events (time to first event) for persons reported to be free of the specific outcome at baseline, and (c) total CVD events (time to first event), allowing both incident and recurrent outcomes to be included. Calculation of rates for incident cardiovascular events excluded those participants who reported the specific condition at baseline. For the combination of incident and prevalent events, all participants were included in the analysis. Person-time in years was calculated as days between date of the baseline clinic visit to the day of the first occurrence of either the cardiovascular event of interest, death, dementia, or last follow-up visit.

Differences in time to events between *G. biloba* and placebo were evaluated using Cox proportional hazards regression adjusted for sex and age, using a categorical variable for adjustment in the models (<80 years, 80 to 84 years, and ≥85 years). Differences in incidence rates of MI and stroke were also calculated and presented per year in the study using the binomial exact test. All analysis was performed using Stata version 10 (StataCorp, College Station, Tex).

Power estimates were originally based on rates from the CHS, age ≥75, stroke 2%, CHD end point 5%. Based on those estimates, we had 89% power for a 35% reduction for stroke, 75% power for a 30% decrease, and for CHD 99% power for a 35% reduction and 92% power for a 25% reduction. The overall incidence of composite CVD events in the GEMS was approximately 4% per year and for stroke and TIA 1.5% per year.

Results

There were 3069 community volunteers age ≥75 (mean age, 79 years) randomly assigned to placebo (n=1524) or *G. biloba* (n=1545) 120 mg twice daily (Table 1). Approximately 95% of the participants were white; 23% had some postgraduate education. One quarter of the participants had a
history of CVD, approximately 55% reported history of hypertension, and 9% had diabetes. Only 4.5% currently smoked cigarettes.

Recruitment began in September 2000 to June 2002. Participant close-out began in October 2007 and was completed in April 2008. Median follow-up was 6.1 years, with a maximum of 7.3 years. Adherence varied from 90% at 6 months, 79% at 2 years, 73% at 4 years, and at the end of the trial, 60.3% of those receiving active therapy were taking their assigned study medication. Adherence did not differ between those taking G. biloba and those taking placebo.2

Deaths
There were 385 deaths during the trial: 188 (22.2/1000 person-years) in the placebo group and 197 (23.0/1000 person-years) in the G. biloba active arm (Table 2). Eighty-seven of the deaths were due to CHD: 42 in the placebo group and 45 in G. biloba arm. There were no differences in the distribution of other CV or non-CV deaths by treatment arm. There were also no differences in distribution of deaths by G. biloba versus placebo for men (210, 12.8%) or women (175, 12.3%).

Hospitalized CV Events
There were 164 hospitalized clinical MIs, 207 cases of reported angina pectoris, 151 strokes, 73 TIsAs, and 35 PVD events. The measurement of incident CVD was limited, as noted, to those individuals who had no self-reported baseline history of CVD and had their first event during the trial. There were no significant differences in incidence of any of the CV outcomes by G. biloba versus placebo arm (Table 3). Event rates were slightly higher in men than in women, except for stroke. There was, however, no difference in event rates by sex by arm in the trial.

There was no evidence of any reduction in risk of total CVD or CHD events, including recurrent events, for G. biloba versus placebo for men or women. No differences were found between the G. biloba and placebo arms of the study for incident plus recurrent CV events (Table 4).

Stroke
Incidence of stroke was slightly higher in the G. biloba than in the placebo arm of the trial, but the difference was not statistically significant (Table 3). Mortality rate for stroke

---

Table 2. Total and Cardiovascular Deaths: G. biloba Versus Placebo

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>G biloba</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Age- and Sex-Adjusted HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Statistically Different P Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>Total Person-Years</td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Atherosclerotic CHD</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>45 5.3</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. CVD</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12 1.4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Other atherosclerotic, not coronary or cerebrovascular</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5 0.6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Other cardiovascular disease, not atherosclerotic</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11 1.3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. All CHD and CVD combined (1 through 4)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>73 8.5</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Noncardiovascular</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>124 14.5</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Total deaths</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>197 23.0</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR indicates hazard ratio, calculated by Cox proportional hazard model.
*P value calculated by Cox proportional hazard model adjusted for age and sex.

---

Table 3. Hospitalizations for Incident Cardiovascular Events Based on No Self-Reported Baseline History, Fatal and Nonfatal by Subject, G. biloba Versus Placebo by Sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>G biloba</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Age- and Sex-Adjusted HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Statistically Different P Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>Total Person-Years</td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. MI</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>68 9.0</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Angina</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>66 8.9</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. CHD (MI and/or angina)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>107 15.6</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. CHF</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>112 13.9</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Stroke</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>73 9.0</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. TIA</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27 3.5</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. CVD (stroke and/or TIA)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>99 13.0</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Total CHD and CVD combined (3 and 8)</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>157 24.9</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR indicates hazard ratio, calculated by Cox proportional hazard model.
*P value calculated by Cox proportional hazard model adjusted for age and sex.
was higher in the placebo arm, although this difference was not statistically significant (Table 2). The incidence of pure vascular dementia was previously reported to be higher in the placebo arm. We also previously reported that there were 24 hemorrhagic stroke cases (16 on G biloba and 8 on placebo; hazard ratio, 1.97 [0.84 to 4.16]; \( P = 0.12 \)). Of the 24 hemorrhagic strokes, 2 were subarachnoid hemorrhage and 22 were intracranial hemorrhage. Five of 16 participants in the G biloba arm and 2 of 8 in the placebo arm were off therapy at the time of the intracranial hemorrhage. Only 2 participants were taking anticoagulants at the visit before hemorrhagic stroke (medical need required cessation of drug, although subjects were still followed). Thus, 11 hemorrhagic stroke cases (16 on G biloba, 2 of 8 on placebo) were not statistically significant (Table 2).

There were only 35 peripheral vascular events in the trial: 12 (0.8%) in G biloba and 23 (1.5%) on placebo (\( P = 0.04 \) exact test). The incidence of MI or stroke by time in the trial for G biloba versus placebo is shown in Table 3.

### Discussion

GEMS did not demonstrate that G biloba 120 mg twice daily in older individuals age \( \geq 75 \) years reduced the risk of either CHD or CVD mortality, total hospitalizations, or incident CVD/CHD events. G biloba 120 mg twice daily cannot be recommended for prevention of CV mortality or incident or recurrent CVD/CHD events in this age group. Results were similar for men or women or by age groups for incidence or mortality by G biloba versus placebo. There is no evidence of any benefit by longer duration in the trial. It remains possible, however, that the benefits of G biloba, should there be any, require many years of therapy, perhaps beginning at an earlier age. GEMS participants probably had extensive atherosclerotic disease even without a history of clinical disease. The potential physiological effects of G biloba on thrombosis, for example, platelet function and endothelial function, would have been expected to decrease the incidence of CVD/CHD if there was a true benefit. It is also possible that G biloba reduces the risk of CHD if taken at younger ages.

There were only 35 peripheral vascular events in the trial. The difference between G biloba and placebo was significant but based on very small numbers. These results are

### Table 4. Incident and Recurrent Cardiovascular Hospitalized Events in GEMS: Fatal and Nonfatal, G biloba Versus Placebo, by Sex With and Without History of CVD at Baseline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Type</th>
<th>G biloba Rate per 1000 Person-Years</th>
<th>Placebo Rate per 1000 Person-Years</th>
<th>Total Rate per 1000 Person-Years</th>
<th>Age- and Sex-Adjusted HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Statistically Different ( P ) Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>68/103 (12.1)</td>
<td>40/89 (10.5)</td>
<td>113/160 (11.6)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.83 to 1.57)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angina</td>
<td>88/144 (16.9)</td>
<td>83/125 (14.8)</td>
<td>168/268 (14.8)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.83 to 1.13)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD (MI and/or Angina)</td>
<td>148/236 (37.6)</td>
<td>121/209 (24.7)</td>
<td>269/545 (24.8)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.67 to 1.11)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>107/201 (23.5)</td>
<td>108/220 (26.0)</td>
<td>215/421 (26.0)</td>
<td>1.97 (0.84 to 4.16)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>45/88 (10.3)</td>
<td>34/82 (9.7)</td>
<td>79/170 (9.8)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.65 to 1.02)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIA</td>
<td>22/36 (4.2)</td>
<td>23/44 (5.2)</td>
<td>45/80 (5.7)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.56 to 1.45)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD (stroke and/or TIA)</td>
<td>67/124 (14.5)</td>
<td>57/126 (14.9)</td>
<td>124/250 (15.1)</td>
<td>1.08 (0.77 to 1.52)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total CHD and CVD combined (3 and 8)</td>
<td>215/360 (42.1)</td>
<td>178/335 (39.6)</td>
<td>393/695 (39.3)</td>
<td>1.08 (0.89 to 1.31)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*HR indicates hazard ratio, calculated by Cox proportional hazard model. \( *P \) value calculated by Cox proportional hazard model adjusted for age and sex.
consistent with studies in Europe that reported increased walking time or distance without pain in trials of *G biloba* versus placebo among clinical PVD patients. A recent report from the Stanford Prevention Research Center evaluated pain-free walking distance among 62 adults with claudication symptoms. Maximal treadmill walking time increased 20.80 seconds (10%) in the placebo group and 91.242 seconds (40%) in the participants given 300 mg of EGb 761 *G biloba* over a 4-month period (*P*=0.12). Lack of statistical significance may have been due to the small sample size. There was also substantial heterogeneity of the results. A randomized trial from Australia, using 22 subjects, noted that exercise but not *G biloba* improved walking times in patients with PVD. The PVD cases in GEMS had severe PVD, usually requiring surgery or amputation. We do not believe that the results of the GEMS trial are a definitive indication for use of *G biloba* for individuals with low ABI. A new clinical trial probably would have to be restricted to individuals with low ABI, that is, first quartile, <1.02; 2nd quartile, 1.02 to 1.1; 3rd quartile, 1.1 to 1.185; 4th quartile, >1.185.

A limitation of this trial is the absence of measures of blood levels or urinary excretion of the flavonoids or terpenoids in participants on EGb 761 *G biloba*. We were limited to data on drug adherence in 2 arms and analysis of the chemical composition of the pills. It is very difficult to measure the amount of *G biloba* that was absorbed and their metabolic products. One study has successfully reported isolation of both quercetin and kaempferol in blood. One small sample of older individuals reported similar absorption in elderly versus younger individuals. The other major limitation of the study is the absence of measures of PVD at the end of the trial. There is no evidence at the present time from clinical trials that *G biloba* reduces the risk of CVD, either primary or secondary prevention.

An important issue is whether the reduced risk of clinical PVD is strong enough for either a new larger trial of *G biloba* or for clinical use for older individuals with low ABI. A new clinical trial probably would have to be restricted to individuals with low ABI, that is, first quartile, <1.02. The trial would be limited by high risk of CHD, stroke, and other medication use, especially statins, aspirin, antihypertensive therapy, and diabetes mellitus. A large sample size and need for careful measurement of PVD outcomes would be required.

We do not believe that the results of the GEMS trial are a definitive indication for use of *G biloba* for individuals with low ABI but do add to the data on potential benefit of *G biloba* in PVD.

### Table 5. Baseline ABI Quartiles in Treatment Groups for Incident CHD, PVD, and All-Cause Mortality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>G <em>biloba</em></th>
<th></th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th></th>
<th>Statistically Different</th>
<th>Statistically Different</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Rate per 1000</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Rate per 1000</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident PVD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤0.9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>17.54</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st quartile</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd quartile</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd quartile</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th quartile</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident CHD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤0.9</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>37.10</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st quartile</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>26.79</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd quartile</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21.37</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd quartile</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>14.58</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th quartile</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19.50</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-cause mortality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤0.9</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>46.14</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st quartile</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>31.85</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd quartile</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23.54</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd quartile</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15.31</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th quartile</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18.53</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* *P* value calculated by Cox proportional hazard model adjusted for age and sex.*
† *P* value calculated by Cox proportional hazard model adjusted for age and sex in each ABI.
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