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Background—Racial disparities in blood pressure (BP) control are well documented but poorly understood; prior studies have only included a limited range of potential explanatory factors. We examined a comprehensive set of putative factors related to blood pressure control, including patient clinical and sociodemographic characteristics, beliefs about BP and BP medications, medication adherence, and experiences of discrimination, to determine if the impact of race on BP control remains after accounting for such factors.

Methods and Results—We recruited 806 white and black patients with hypertension from an urban safety-net hospital. From a questionnaire administered to patients after their clinic visits, electronic medical record and BP data, we assessed an array of patient factors. We then examined the association of patient factors with BP control by modeling it as a function of the covariates using random-effects logistic regression. Blacks indicated worse medication adherence, more discrimination, and more concerns about high BP and BP medications, compared with whites. After accounting for all factors, race was no longer a significant predictor of BP control.

Conclusions—Results suggest that equalizing patients’ health beliefs, medication adherence, and experiences with care could ameliorate disparities in BP control. Additional attention must focus on the factors associated with race to identify, and ultimately intervene on, the causes of racial disparities in BP outcomes. (Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes. 2010; 3:00-00.)
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Hypertension, which affects more than 73 million Americans, is a major risk factor for cardiovascular, cerebrovascular, and renal disease. It is more frequent among African Americans and accounts for a significant portion of racial differences in mortality, through excess cardiovascular morbidity. Many patients with hypertension have poorly controlled blood pressure (BP), and African Americans are disproportionately represented among this group, even after controlling for comorbidities such as diabetes and renal disease.

The reason for this racial disparity is not well understood. Many prior studies of BP control have only examined a narrow range of potential etiologic factors—usually clinical characteristics and sometimes including selected sociodemographic factors. Recently, authors have suggested that patient self-management attitudes and behaviors and other attitudes, beliefs, and experiences that might affect medication nonadherence might be potential causal pathways to disparities in chronic disease outcomes such as BP control.

Bosworth et al proposed an organizing framework for the psychosocial and cultural domains they theorized would affect BP control, incorporating patient characteristics, including age, education, health literacy, and psychological factors such as beliefs and attitudes about health and illness, social/cultural environmental factors, including culturally linked perceptions of hypertension and therapies for it, and the medical environment, including the provider-patient relationship and interactions. The model does not specify causal associations, and, perhaps as a result, it has not been tested empirically, so the presence or strength of the hypothesized associations is not known. The model also did not include comorbid conditions. Thus, we sought to extend this work and the model itself by examining the contribution of the previously proposed and additional putative causal factors, in a more diverse sample in a different setting. We hypothesized that after adjusting for a more extensive set of potential confounders, race would no longer be significantly associated with BP control.
WHAT IS KNOWN

- Racial disparities in blood pressure (BP) control are well documented but poorly understood, and prior studies have only included a limited range of potential explanatory factors.

WHAT THE STUDY ADDS

- Blacks reported more experiences of discrimination, worse medication adherence, and more concerns about BP.
- Patient beliefs about hypertension can affect their BP control.
- Comorbid conditions, whose prevalence varies by race/ethnicity, can also affect BP control and thus are important to account for.
- We suggest an enhanced model of factors leading to disparities in BP control.

Methods

Sample
We identified all white and black patients ages 21 and older with 3 separate outpatient diagnoses of hypertension in 2004 in the primary care practices of a northeastern academically affiliated urban safety net tertiary care hospital. We use the term “black” to refer to patients of African or Caribbean descent. The study was approved by the institutional review board, and all subjects gave informed consent. Study staff tracked clinic visits of these 10,125 patients over 19 months (October 2004 to June 2006), and, as they presented for care, approached 3526 of them to request study participation. Those willing were asked a series of questions and administered a 6-item cognitive screen to determine eligibility. However, 654 patients (19% of 3526) overtly refused to participate and 920 patients (26% of 3526) responded that they were unable to participate that day but were potentially willing in the future, all before we were able to assess their eligibility. Subsequently, 1083 patients (53% of the remaining 1952) were excluded for reasons including cognitive difficulties, hearing impairment, not speaking English, or not being prescribed antihypertensive medications; we enrolled 869 patients. We then applied this 53% exclusion rate to the 1574 nonrespondents whose eligibility we had been unable to assess (654 refusers and 920 with no time) and estimated that 708 (45%) probably would have been eligible. Thus, we calculated our participation rate as participation rate = (869/869 + 708)/55% = 55%.

We subsequently excluded 63 additional patients for whom study staff were unable to obtain BPs, for a final sample of 806 (Figure 1). We evaluated the representativeness of the enrolled cohort, compared with those eligible to be enrolled, using the limited data available on the nonenrolled patients. Enrolled patients were more likely to be white (43% versus 32%, P < 0.0001) and were younger (mean age, 59 versus 65 for nonenrolled patients, P < 0.0001), but there was no difference in sex distribution from the parent population of eligible patients (not shown).

Measures

Patient Characteristics

Sociodemographic Characteristics and Medical History
Patient sociodemographic characteristics including race (assessed using US Census categories), education, and income were obtained through self-report. To assess patient literacy, we used the REALM-Short Form. We used 5 separate dichotomous (yes/no) questions to assess insurance status, asking if patients currently have health insurance coverage through Medicare, Medicaid, Medigap, Free Care, or other insurance.

Patient clinical data were extracted from the electronic medical record, including age, sex, height, weight, and hypertension diagnosis. The electronic medical record was searched to obtain diagnoses of comorbid conditions including renal insufficiency, coronary artery disease, peripheral vascular disease, nicotine dependence, hyperlipidemia, diabetes mellitus, congestive heart failure, cerebrovascular disease, and obesity, because these conditions may influence the management of hypertension.

Obesity was considered a current diagnosis for any patient with an electronic medical record diagnosis or a calculated body mass index of at least 30.

Health Beliefs and Illness Perception

We examined a broad spectrum of patient beliefs and perceptions about high BP and related medications. We used the “Beliefs about Medicines Questionnaire”: 10 items assess patient concerns about present and potential future adverse effects from their medications and 8 items measure patients’ beliefs regarding the necessity of their medications; we edited the items to relate responses specifically to BP medicines (items scored on a 5-point scale: strongly agree to strongly disagree). Scores were summed within each scale to create an overall scale score (range, 8 to 40 for the “necessity” scale, Cronbach α = 0.81; 10 to 50 for the “concerns” scale, α = 0.80). Each score was divided by the number of items to obtain a mean summary score (range, 1 to 5); higher numbers indicated either greater concerns about medications or greater beliefs in their necessity.

We used 4 additional items from our prior work to evaluate the degree of seriousness with which patients perceive hypertension, asking “How serious do you think your high BP is, in general?”; “How serious do you think your high BP is, given your current use of medication?”; “If you did not take your BP medications, how likely do you think it would be that your BP would get worse over the next year?”; and “If you did not take your BP medications, how likely do you think it is that you would develop other health problems over the...
next year?” (all scored on a scale of 1 to 5: extremely serious to not at all serious for the former 2 questions; very likely to very unlikely for the latter 2). We also included 10 individual items from the “cause” dimension of the “Illness Perception Questionnaire” to assess illness identity, cause, timeline, consequences, and cure. Each question assessed the patient’s perception of his/her provider’s understanding of the patient’s cultural background and how it affects his/her health; each item was examined individually. We also included 7 dichotomous items from a measure of patient perceptions of discrimination in accessing health care, counting the number of experiences reported and creating a continuous variable (higher score indicates more experiences of discrimination); α = 0.90.

**Perceived Discrimination in Health Care**

We included 3 measures to assess patient perceptions of race-based discrimination in the health care setting. We used 5 questions that were a subset of the Commonwealth Fund 2001 Health Care Quality survey, focusing specifically on the patient perception of his/her provider and encountering discrimination while receiving medical care. We dichotomized the 5 questions (i.e., patients who disagree vs. agree) and formed a scale of perceived discrimination, using the random-effects logistic regression, which was conducted using the statistics package R (version 2.8.0).

**Results**

**Sociodemographic Characteristics of the Sample**

Most of the sample were black (57%) or female (65%), with an overall mean age of 59 years. White patients were older (61 versus 58 years), and more likely to have at least a high school education (90% versus 71%). Black patients were more likely to have insurance coverage through Medicaid or Free Care (42% versus 22%, 41% versus 25%, respectively), whereas white patients were more likely to have “other” (private) insurance (59% versus 35%). There were race differences in combined household family income, with a greater percentage of blacks earning low incomes of <$20,000 (58% versus 36% whites) and being less likely to have a literacy score of 9th grade or higher (48% versus 84%), (all P < 0.001; Table 1).

**BP and Medical History by Race**

A greater percentage of white patients had controlled BP (65% versus 53%), with lower systolic and diastolic BP than blacks (white systolic BP, 130 mm Hg versus 132 mm Hg; white diastolic BP 76 mm Hg versus 81 mm Hg). White patients were more likely to have hyperlipidemia (60% versus 47%), peripheral vascular disease (7% versus 4%), benign prostatic hypertrophy (6% versus 2%), coronary artery disease (19% versus 8%), and cerebrovascular disease (7% versus 4%). Black patients were more likely to have diabetes (40% versus 24%), renal insufficiency (7% versus 4%), congestive heart failure (5% versus 1%), and to be obese (65% versus 52%), all P < 0.05.

**Health Beliefs and Illness Perceptions**

Blacks had significantly more concerns about their BP medications than whites (2.5 versus 2.1, P < 0.0001). White patients were significantly more likely to respond that their BP was less serious, given their current use of medications (3.3 versus 2.8, P < 0.0001).

When asked about the causality of high BP, blacks agreed more with the notion that it is caused by a germ or virus or that diet, pollution, or heredity played a major role in causing BP (Table 1). Blacks were more likely to indicate that it was just by chance that they became ill with hypertension, that other people played a large role in causing their BP, or that high BP was caused by poor medical care in the past.

**Perceived Discrimination**

When asked if there was ever a time they would have gotten better medical care if they belonged to a different race or ethnic group or if they ever felt that a doctor or medical staff judged them unfairly or treated them with disrespect because of how well they spoke English, blacks were more likely to respond “yes” than whites (19% versus 1% and 4% versus 0%, respectively). Although all patients generally agreed that their provider understood their background and values, black patients agreed less strongly (1.5 versus 1.4), and though all patients disagreed that their provider looks down on them and
## Table 1. Sociodemographic, Clinical, Attitudinal, Belief, and Experience Variables by Race and BP Control

| Sociodemographic Characteristics and Medical History | Overall, % | White, % | Black, % | % White | % Male | Education, % ≥12 y | % Income < $20,000 | % With controlled BP | Mean systolic BP, mm Hg | Mean diastolic BP, mm Hg | Nicotine dependence | Hyperlipidemia | Diabetes | Peripheral vascular disease | Renal insufficiency | Benign prostatic hypertrophy | Coronary artery disease | Obesity | Congestive heart failure | Cerebrovascular disease | Health Beliefs and Illness Perceptions |
|-------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------|----------|---------|--------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| % White                                              | 43         | n/a      | n/a      | 43      | 35     | 79                  | 48                  | 58                   | 131                    | 79                    | 7                     | 33        | 52        | 33     | 5                       | 2                     | 4                           | 13                  | 59      | 5                        | 5                     | 0.9918                  |
| Mean age, y                                           | 59         | 61       | 58       | 59      | 46     | 90                  | 36                  | 58                   | 120                    | 76                    | 5                     | 34        | 40        | 40     | 12                      | 12                   | 3                           | 12                  | 22      | 4                        | 3                     | 0.0277                  |
| % Male                                                | 35         | 46       | 27       | 35      | 46     | 90                  | 36                  | 27                   | 38                     | 4                     | 2                     | 27        | 27        | 27     | 0.0001                  | 33                   | 2                           | 27                  | 27      | 2                        | 2                     | 0.0984                  |
| Education, % ≥12 y                                    | 79         | 90       | 71       | 79      | 90     | 71                  | 90                  | 71                   | 81                     | 71                    | 71                    | 32        | 37        | 37     | 0.0001                  | 81                   | 76                           | 81                  | 76      | 37                       | 37                    | 0.0003                  |
| % Income < $20,000                                    | 48         | 36       | 58       | 48      | 36     | 58                  | 58                  | 58                   | 43                     | 43                    | 43                    | 32        | 37        | 37     | 0.0001                  | 32                   | 37                           | 32                  | 37      | 37                       | 37                    | 0.0003                  |
| Health Beliefs and Illness Perceptions                |            |          |          |         |        |                     |                     |                      |                         |                       |                       |           |           |        |                          |                       |                             |                     |         |                          |                       |                          |
| BMQ: Mean necessity of medications, mean score        | 3.7        | 3.7      | 3.7      | 3.7     | 3.7    | 3.7                 | 3.7                 | 3.7                  | 3.7                    | 3.7                   | 3.7                  | 3.7        | 3.7        | 3.7    | 0.1542                  | 3.7                   | 3.7                           | 3.7                 | 3.7     | 3.7                      | 3.7                    | 0.9739                  |
| BMQ: Mean concerns about medications, mean score      | 2.3        | 2.1      | 2.5      | 2.3     | 2.1    | 2.5                 | 2.3                 | 2.5                  | 2.3                    | 2.5                   | 2.5                  | 2.3        | 2.5        | 2.5    | 0.0407                  | 2.3                   | 2.4                           | 2.3                 | 2.4     | 2.4                      | 2.4                    | 0.0407                  |
| How serious do you think high BP is, in general*      | 1.5        | 1.5      | 1.5      | 1.5     | 1.5    | 1.5                 | 1.5                 | 1.5                  | 1.5                    | 1.5                   | 1.5                  | 1.5        | 1.5        | 1.5    | 0.1147                  | 1.5                   | 1.6                           | 1.5                 | 1.6     | 1.6                      | 1.6                    | 0.1147                  |
| How serious do you think your high BP is, given your current use of medication?* | 3.0        | 3.3      | 2.8      | 3.0     | 3.3    | 2.8                 | 3.0                 | 2.8                  | 3.0                    | 3.0                   | 2.8                  | 3.0        | 2.8        | 2.8    | 0.0010                  | 3.0                   | 2.9                           | 3.0                 | 2.9     | 2.9                      | 2.9                    | 0.0010                  |
| If you did not take BP meds, likelihood that BP would get worse w/in a year† | 1.5        | 1.4      | 1.5      | 1.5     | 1.4    | 1.5                 | 1.5                 | 1.5                  | 1.5                    | 1.5                   | 1.5                  | 1.5        | 1.5        | 1.5    | 0.1348                  | 1.5                   | 1.4                           | 1.5                 | 1.4     | 1.4                      | 1.4                    | 0.1348                  |
| If you did not take BP meds, likelihood that you would develop other health problems w/in a year† | 1.7        | 1.7      | 1.7      | 1.7     | 1.7    | 1.7                 | 1.7                 | 1.7                  | 1.7                    | 1.7                   | 1.7                  | 1.7        | 1.7        | 1.7    | 0.4281                  | 1.7                   | 1.7                           | 1.7                 | 1.7     | 1.7                      | 1.7                    | 0.4281                  |

(Continued)
the way they live their life, white patients disagreed more strongly (4.5 versus 4.3). Blacks reported more experiences of discrimination when receiving health care than did whites (1.1 versus 0.2, all \( P < 0.05 \)).

**Medication Adherence**
White patients reported better medication adherence than did black patients (9.9 versus 11.0, \( P < 0.0001 \)).

**Covariates by BP Control**
A greater proportion of patients with controlled BP were white compared with patients with uncontrolled BP (48% versus 36%). Patients with uncontrolled BP were more likely to have a household income of < $20,000 (56% versus 43%), were more likely to have Medicaid (40% versus 29%), and were less likely to have “other” insurance (38% versus 51%).

**Table 1. Continued**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall White, %</th>
<th>Black, %</th>
<th>( P ) Value for Race Differences</th>
<th>Controlled BP, %</th>
<th>Uncontrolled BP, %</th>
<th>( P ) Value for Difference by BP Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>My high BP is hereditary—it runs in my family</td>
<td>2.0 2.1 1.9</td>
<td>0.0134</td>
<td>2.1 2.0</td>
<td>0.1877</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It was just by chance that I became ill with high BP</td>
<td>3.5 3.7 3.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>3.5 3.4</td>
<td>0.1876</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress was a major factor in causing my high BP</td>
<td>2.4 2.4 2.4</td>
<td>0.4035</td>
<td>2.4 2.4</td>
<td>0.4737</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My high BP is largely due to my own behavior</td>
<td>2.7 2.7 2.8</td>
<td>0.3299</td>
<td>2.8 2.7</td>
<td>0.1508</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other people played a large role in causing my high BP</td>
<td>3.4 3.6 3.3</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>3.4 3.3</td>
<td>0.2580</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My high BP was caused by poor medical care in the past</td>
<td>3.9 4.1 3.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>3.9 3.8</td>
<td>0.2799</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My state of mind played a major part in causing my high BP</td>
<td>3.2 3.3 3.2</td>
<td>0.7686</td>
<td>3.3 3.2</td>
<td>0.4884</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Perceived Discrimination**

**Commonwealth Fund Items**

| Was there ever a time you would have gotten better medical care if you belonged to a different race or ethnic group? (% yes) | 11 1 19 | <0.0001 | 12 11 | 0.6174 |
| In the last 2 years, have you ever felt that the doctor or medical staff judged you unfairly or treated you with disrespect because of how well you speak English? (% yes) | 2 0 4 | 0.0008 | 3 2 | 0.3549 |

**My provider treats me with a great deal of respect and dignity†**

| 1.3 1.3 1.3 | 0.2509 | 1.3 1.3 | 0.5617 |
| My feel that my provider understands my background and values‡ | 1.5 1.4 1.5 | 0.0425 | 1.5 1.5 | 0.1818 |
| I often feel as if my provider looks down on me and the way I live my life† | 4.4 4.5 4.3 | <0.0001 | 4.4 4.4 | 0.7828 |

**New item**

| I feel my provider understands my cultural background and how it affects my health§ | 1.8 1.8 1.8 | 0.5104 | 1.8 1.8 | 0.1044 |

**Perceived Discrimination Scale (Bird and Bogart)**

| Discrimination scale | 0.7 0.2 1.1 | <0.0001 | 0.7 0.7 | 0.9815 |
| Medication adherence | 10.5 9.9 11.0 | <0.0001 | 10.4 10.7 | 0.0347 |

Bolded text indicates significant differences; because of rounding, items sum to more than 100%.

*Higher score indicates greater belief that high BP is not serious.
†Higher score indicates greater belief that statement is unlikely to be true.
‡Higher score indicates more disagreement with statement.
Mean necessity: scale, 1 to 5. Higher score indicates medications are a necessity.
Mean concerns: scale, 1 to 5. Higher score indicates greater concern about medications.
Perceived discrimination scale: Higher score indicates more experiences of discrimination.
Hill Bone Adherence: Higher score indicates less adherence.
§Percent white.
Table 2. Multivariate Results Modeling Controlled BP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2 and 3</th>
<th>Model 4</th>
<th>Model 5</th>
<th>Model 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coefficient</td>
<td>Coefficient</td>
<td>Coefficient</td>
<td>Coefficient</td>
<td>Coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race (white)</td>
<td>0.42*</td>
<td>0.13, 0.71</td>
<td>0.37*</td>
<td>0.06, 0.68</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
<td>-0.75, -0.13</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>-0.68, -0.06</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My high BP is largely due to my own behavior‡</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.01, 0.29</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.03, 0.31</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How serious do you think high BP is, in general?</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-0.43, -0.03</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>-0.44, -0.04</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would have gotten better medical care if you belonged to a different race or ethnic group</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.04, 0.32</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.04, 0.32</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>-0.97, -0.27</td>
<td>-1.17</td>
<td>-1.93, -0.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal insufficiency</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>-0.13, 1.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign prostatic hypertrophy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05 (value only reported for race, the only variable forced into all of the stepwise selection procedures).
†95% confidence intervals.
‡Higher score indicates more disagreement with statement.

Multivariate Results

The first model, only adjusted for race, accounting for physician and clinic, indicated that white patients had higher odds of having controlled BP than blacks (model 1, b=0.42, P=0.0068; Table 2; c-statistic (c)=0.661; Hosmer and Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test (HL) P=0.4226). The effect of race on BP control persisted in the second model, after adjustment for income (other sociodemographic variables were excluded through the ordinary logistic regression stepwise procedure).

The third model added a measure of adherence, which was not significantly related to BP control, so these 2 latter models had the same results, with race continuing to be a significant predictor of BP control (models 2 and 3, b=0.37, P=0.0238; model 2, c=0.691, HL P=0.7127; model 3, c=0.670, HL P=0.9260). In the fourth model, an item assessing patients’ beliefs that high BP is largely due to one’s own behavior was added, as well as 2 items assessing the degree of seriousness with which patients perceive hypertension (all other attitudinal and experiential factors were excluded through the stepwise procedure). In this model, race was no longer significantly associated with odds of BP control (model 4, b=0.33, P=0.0531, c=0.713, HL P=0.3932).

In the fifth model, 1 item assessing experiences with perceived discrimination in health care was added, specifically, perceptions about whether they would have ever gotten better medical care if they belonged to a different race or ethnic group (other items assessing discrimination were excluded through the stepwise procedure). Race was significant in this model (model 5, b=0.48, P=0.0074, c=0.708, HL P=0.8660).

In the final model, diabetes, renal insufficiency, and benign prostatic hypertrophy were added (other comorbid conditions were excluded during the stepwise procedure in SAS). Here, race was no longer a significant predictor of BP control (model 6, b=0.32, P=0.0876, c=0.740, HL P=0.4274).

Discussion

Understanding and ameliorating racial disparities in BP control is a major public health and clinical concern. We hypothesized that after adjusting for an extensive set of potential confounders, race would no longer be significantly associated with BP control, and the results generally supported this notion. Although the effects of race persisted after accounting for sociodemographic factors, the inclusion of BP-related attitudes and beliefs rendered race insignificant. However, the introduction of the discrimination variables made race significant again, in a counterintuitive fashion, although in the final model, with the inclusion of comorbid conditions, race was no longer significant. The finding that
patients who agreed with the statement that there was “ever a time when they would have gotten better medical care if they had belonged to a different race/ethnic group” had better BP control is puzzling. We carefully explored this dynamic, ruling out the possibility that race-discrimination interactions were driving it, and finding that even if we removed this variable, another discrimination variable became significant in the same counterintuitive direction (not shown). The variable was limited by its reference point (eg, was there ever a time . . .), so it is possible that patients who felt they were getting bad care in the past had changed clinicians and are now getting good care, leading to better current BP care and control. It is also possible that another, unmeasured, confounder caused these results.

The study findings are different than the Bosworth study, which indicated that after controlling for a similar range of factors (not including discrimination or comorbid conditions), race remained a significant predictor of BP control, among VA patients in one Southern city. They speculated that the results they obtained in that setting, where access to care is assured, might be different than those found elsewhere, and our results in a northeastern urban safety net hospital setting support this notion. Several other studies also controlled for subsets of the factors we included here, but race remained significant. Thus, although our findings support Bosworth’s proposed framework as a descriptive model, and the notion that numerous psychosocial, behavioral, and experiential factors mediate the relationship between race and BP control, they indicate that comorbid conditions, whose prevalence varies by race/ethnicity, are also important to account for in models of disparities in BP control.

Several findings have clinical implications. Blacks reported more experiences of discrimination, and such experiences may erode overall trust in physicians, their diagnoses, and the therapies they prescribe. Experiences of discrimination in the community setting are generally associated with higher BP with less use of chronic disease care and may negatively affect patients’ acceptance of their diagnosis and beliefs in the necessity of or concerns about the associated therapy, which are foundational to patient adherence to prescribed medications. Unequal treatment, documented by us and others, may also contribute to disparities in BP outcomes.

Blacks indicated worse medication adherence and more concerns about BP. Each of these is potentially ameliorable through educational or counseling interventions, and our results suggest that addressing these will help address disparities in BP control.

This study was limited by its focus on patients in a single setting and its inclusion of only black (albeit both African-American and Caribbean-born) and white patients. Although we required that participants have separate outpatient diagnoses of hypertension, our inability to contact or enroll many eligible patients may have biased our sample toward more frequent users. The observational nature of these data limits our ability to form causal inferences because we were not able to randomize by attitudinal characteristics or ascertain that certain attitudes or experiences preceded BP outcomes in time. Further, our measure of adherence, although internally consistent and previously validated against BP outcomes in time. Further, our measure of adherence, although internally consistent and previously validated against BP outcomes, was obtained by self-report. However, the large sample, which included women and detailed assessments of the richest array of putative factors examined to date, offsets the limitations.

Among the potential causes of disparities in BP control, the etiologic factors could arise from the patient (health beliefs and experiences, medication adherence or self-care behaviors, clinical or biological factors), the provider (practice style, communication skills, attitudes), the doctor-patient interaction, or the environment. Although the Bosworth model provided an excellent summary of the psychosocial and cultural factors that might be associated with BP control, we propose that a model for racial disparities in BP control should include a wider array of factors and include hypothesized associations (Figure 2). The present results help to demonstrate the effects of a variety of factors on race differences in BP, but we lacked data on other self-care behaviors important to hypertension management (eg, diet, exercise) to fully address this question. Nor are we able to rule out biological differences, such as race-linked nitric oxide deficiencies associated with cardiovascular disease or differences in the process of care. Our future work will also examine the effects of racial differences in providers’ therapeutic intensification, which varies by race, on BP outcomes. Similar to our prior suggestions for future directions in research on racial differences in invasive cardiac procedure
use, here we propose additional careful attention by clinicians, researchers, and, ultimately, policymakers, to a comprehensive array of factors associated with race to identify and intervene on the causes of racial disparities in BP outcomes.
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